


$\pi_2(1670)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^-+)$ **$\pi_2(1670)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1672.4 ± 3.2 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.			
1749 ± 10	± 100	145k	LU 05	B852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
1676 ± 3	± 8		¹ CHUNG 02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1685 ± 10	± 30		² BARBERIS 01		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$
1687 ± 9	± 15		AMELIN 99	VES	$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
1669 ± 4			BARBERIS 98B		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \rho \pi p_s$
1670 ± 4			BARBERIS 98B		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f f_2(1270) \pi p_s$
1730 ± 20			³ AMELIN 95B	VES	$36 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
1690 ± 14			⁴ BERDNIKOV 94	VES	$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
1710 ± 20	700		ANTIPOV 87	SIGM	$50 \pi^- Cu \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- Cu$
1676 ± 6			⁴ EVANGELIS... 81	OMEG	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
1657 ± 14			^{4,5} DAUM 80D	SPEC	$63-94 \pi p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
1662 ± 10	2000		⁴ BALTAY 77	HBC	$15 \pi^+ p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1742 ± 31	± 49		ANTREASYAN 90	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1624 ± 21			¹ BELLINI 85	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1622 ± 35			⁶ BELLINI 85	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1693 ± 28			⁷ BELLINI 85	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1710 ± 20			⁸ DAUM 81B	SPEC	$63,94 \pi^- p$
1660 ± 10			⁴ ASCOLI 73	HBC	$5-25 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi_2$

¹ From $f_2(1270)\pi$ decay.² From a fit to the invariant mass distribution.³ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^-+ f_2(1270)\pi, f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.⁴ From a fit to $J^P = 2^- S$ -wave $f_2(1270)\pi$ partial wave.⁵ Clear phase rotation seen in $2^- S, 2^- P, 2^- D$ waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.⁶ From $\rho\pi$ decay.⁷ From $\sigma\pi$ decay.⁸ From a two-resonance fit to four $2^- 0^+$ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.



$\pi_2(1670)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
259± 9 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
408± 60± 250	145k	LU	05	B852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
254± 3± 31		9 CHUNG	02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
265± 30± 40		10 BARBERIS	01		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$
168± 43± 53		AMELIN	99	VES	$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
268± 15		BARBERIS	98B		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \rho \pi p_s$
256± 15		BARBERIS	98B		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f f_2(1270) \pi p_s$
310± 20		11 AMELIN	95B	VES	$36 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
190± 50		12 BERDNIKOV	94	VES	$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
170± 80	700	ANTIPOV	87	SIGM	$50 \pi^- Cu \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- Cu$
260± 20		12 EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
219± 20		12,13 DAUM	80D	SPEC	$63-94 \pi p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
285± 60	2000	12 BALTAY	77	HBC	$15 \pi^+ p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
236± 49± 36		ANTREASYAN	90	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
304± 22		9 BELLINI	85	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
404± 108		14 BELLINI	85	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$

330 ± 90	¹⁵ BELLINI	85 SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
312 ± 50	¹⁶ DAUM	81B SPEC	$63,94 \pi^- p$
270 ± 60	¹² ASCOLI	73 HBC	$5-25 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi_2$

⁹ From $f_2(1270)\pi$ decay.

¹⁰ From a fit to the invariant mass distribution.

¹¹ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^- + f_2(1270)\pi, f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.


¹² From a fit to $J^P = 2^- f_2(1270)\pi$ partial wave.

¹³ Clear phase rotation seen in $2^- S, 2^- P, 2^- D$ waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.

¹⁴ From $\rho\pi$ decay.

¹⁵ From $\sigma\pi$ decay.

¹⁶ From a two-resonance fit to four $2^- 0^+$ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.



$\pi_2(1670)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad 3\pi$	$(95.8 \pm 1.4) \%$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		
$\Gamma_3 \quad \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$		
$\Gamma_4 \quad f_2(1270)\pi$	$(56.3 \pm 3.2) \%$	
$\Gamma_5 \quad \rho\pi$	$(31 \pm 4) \%$	
$\Gamma_6 \quad \sigma\pi$	$(10.9 \pm 3.4) \%$	
$\Gamma_7 \quad (\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave}$	$(8.7 \pm 3.4) \%$	

Γ_8	$K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	(4.2 ± 1.4) %
Γ_9	$\omega\rho$	(2.7 ± 1.1) %
Γ_{10}	$\gamma\gamma$	< 2.8 × 10 ⁻⁷ 90%
Γ_{11}	$\eta\pi$	
Γ_{12}	$\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	
Γ_{13}	$\rho(1450)\pi$	< 3.6 × 10 ⁻³ 97.7%
Γ_{14}	$b_1(1235)\pi$	< 1.9 × 10 ⁻³ 97.7%
Γ_{15}	$\eta 3\pi$	
Γ_{16}	$f_1(1285)\pi$	possibly seen
Γ_{17}	$a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 4 branching ratios uses 6 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 1.9$ for 3 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} & x_5 & & \\ x_5 & -53 & & \\ & -29 & -59 & \\ x_7 & -8 & -21 & -9 \\ & x_4 & x_5 & x_7 \end{array}$$

$\pi_2(1670)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$					Γ_{10}
VALUE (keV)	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.072	90	17 ACCIARRI	97T L3		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.19	90	17 ALBRECHT	97B ARG		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1.41 ± 0.23 ± 0.28		ANTREASYAN 90	CBAL 0		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
0.8 ± 0.3 ± 0.12		18 BEHREND	90C CELL 0		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1.3 ± 0.3 ± 0.2		19 BEHREND	90C CELL 0		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹⁷ Decaying into $f_2(1270)\pi$ and $\rho\pi$.

¹⁸ Constructive interference between $f_2(1270)\pi, \rho\pi$ and background.

¹⁹ Incoherent Ansatz.

$\pi_2(1670) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_2\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>
<0.1	95

20 SCHEGELSKY 06 RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

20 From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

 $\pi_2(1670)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(3\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma = (\Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5 + \Gamma_7)/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>

0.958±0.014 OUR FIT

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	Γ_3/Γ_2
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>

21 BARBERIS 01 $450 \text{ } pp \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/0.565\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$	$\Gamma_5/0.565\Gamma_4$
(With $f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.)	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
0.97±0.09 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.9.

0.76±0.07±0.10 CHUNG 02 B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$
1.01±0.05 BARBERIS 98B $450 \text{ } pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 p_s$

$\Gamma(\sigma\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$	Γ_6/Γ_4
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>

0.19±0.06 OUR AVERAGE

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.17±0.02±0.07	CHUNG 02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$
0.24±0.10	BAKER 99	SPEC	$1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$

$\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$	$\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5/(0.565\Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5 + 0.624\Gamma_7)$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>

0.29±0.04 OUR FIT

0.29±0.05 24 DAUM 81B SPEC $63,94 \pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.3 BARTSCH 68 HBC + $8 \pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

$0.565\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$	$0.565\Gamma_4/(0.565\Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5 + 0.624\Gamma_7)$
(With $f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.)	

VALUE

0.604±0.035 OUR FIT

0.60 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.61 ±0.04	24 DAUM 81B	SPEC		$63,94 \pi^- p$
0.76 +0.24 -0.34	ARMENISE 69	DBC	+	$5.1 \pi^+ d \rightarrow d 3\pi$
0.35 ±0.20	BALTAY 68	HBC	+	$7-8.5 \pi^+ p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.59 BARTSCH 68 HBC + $8 \pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

$$0.624\Gamma((\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$$

(With $(\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.)

VALUE

0.10±0.04 OUR FIT

0.10±0.05

DOCUMENT ID

²⁴ DAUM

TECN

81B SPEC

$63,94\pi^- p$

$$0.624\Gamma_7/(0.565\Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5 + 0.624\Gamma_7)$$

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_4$$

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

CHG

COMMENT

0.075±0.025 OUR FIT

0.075±0.025

²⁵

ARMSTRONG 82B OMEG — $16\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- p$

$$\Gamma(\omega\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.027±0.004±0.010

²⁶ AMELIN

99

$37\pi^- A \xrightarrow{\omega\pi^-} \pi^0 A^*$

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$$

$$\Gamma_{11}/(0.565\Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5 + 0.624\Gamma_7)$$

(All η decays.)

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

CHG

COMMENT

<0.09

BALTAY

68

HBC + $7-8.5\pi^+ p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.10

CRENNELL

70

HBC — $6\pi^- p \rightarrow f_2\pi^- N$

$$\Gamma(\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$$

$$\Gamma_{12}/(0.565\Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5 + 0.624\Gamma_7)$$

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

CHG

COMMENT

<0.10

CRENNELL

70

HBC — $6\pi^- p \rightarrow$

$f_2\pi^- N$

<0.1

BALTAY

68

HBC + $7,8.5\pi^+ p$

$$\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$$

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<0.0036

AMELIN

99

$37\pi^- A \xrightarrow{\omega\pi^-} \pi^0 A^*$

$$\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$$

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<0.0019

AMELIN

99

$37\pi^- A \xrightarrow{\omega\pi^-} \pi^0 A^*$

$$\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$$

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

possibly seen

KUHN

04

$18\pi^- p \rightarrow$

$\eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

$$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

not seen

KUHN

04

$18\pi^- p \rightarrow$

$\eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

D-wave/S-wave RATIO FOR $\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.18±0.06	22 BAKER	99 SPEC	$1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.22±0.10	24 DAUM	81B SPEC	$63,94 \pi^- p$

F-wave/P-wave RATIO FOR $\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow \rho\pi$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.72±0.07±0.14	CHUNG	02 B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
21 Using BARBERIS 98B.			
22 Using preliminary CBAR data.			
23 With the $\sigma\pi$ in $L=2$ and the $f_2(1270)\pi$ in $L=0$.			
24 From a two-resonance fit to four $2^- 0^+$ waves.			
25 From a partial-wave analysis of $K^+ K^- \pi^-$ system.			
26 Normalized to the $B(\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2\pi)$.			

 $\pi_2(1670)$ REFERENCES

SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
KUHN	04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
BARBERIS	01	PL B507 14	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	
AMELIN	99	PAN 62 445	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 62 487.		
BAKER	99	PL B449 114	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AMELIN	95B	PL B356 595	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
BERDNIKOV	94	PL B337 219	E.B. Berdnikov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
ANTREASYAN	90	ZPHY C48 561	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BEHREND	90C	ZPHY C46 583	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
ANTIPOV	87	EPL 4 403	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, JINR, INRM+)
BELLINI	85	SJNP 41 781	D. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 41 1223.		
ARMSTRONG	82B	NP B202 1	T.A. Armstrong, B. Baccari	(AACH3, BARI, BONN+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
Also		NP B186 594	C. Evangelista	
DAUM	80D	PL 89B 285	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
BALTAY	77	PRL 39 591	C. Baltay, C.V. Cautis, M. Kalelkar	(COLU) JP
ASCOLI	73	PR D7 669	G. Ascoli	(ILL, TNTO, GENO, HAMB, MILA+) JP
CRENNELL	70	PRL 24 781	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
ARMENISE	69	LNC 2 501	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ)
BALTAY	68	PRL 20 887	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ROCH, RUTG, YALE) I
BARTSCH	68	NP B7 345	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN) JP

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

DZIERBA	06	PR D73 072001	A.R. Dzierba <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
PAGE	03	PL B566 108	P. Page, S. Capstick	
ZAIMIDOROGA	99	PAN 30 1	O.A. Zaimidoroga	
		Translated from SJPN 30 5.		
CHEN	83B	PR D28 2304	T.Y. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(ARIZ, FNAL, FLOR, NDAM+)
LEEDOM	83	PR D27 1426	I.D. Leedom <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, TNTO)
BELLINI	82B	NP B199 1	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MILA, JINR+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
PERNEGR	78	NP B134 436	J. Pernegr <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, CERN, LOIC+)
FOCACCI	66	PRL 17 890	M.N. Focacci <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
LEV RAT	66	PL 22 714	B. Levrat <i>et al.</i>	
VETLITSKY	66	PL 21 579	I.A. Veltlitsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
FORINO	65B	PL 19 68	A. Forino <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, BARI, FIRZ, ORSAY+)